arXiv:1409.2841v1 [math.CO] 9 Sep 2014

INCREASING TABLEAUX AND GENERALIZED NARAYANA NUMBERS

TIMOTHY PRESSEY, ANNA STOKKE AND TERRY VISENTIN

Abstract. We give a counting formula for the set of rectangular increasing tableaux in terms of generalized Narayana numbers. We define small m-Schröder paths and give a bijection between the set of increasing rectangular tableaux and small m-Schröder paths, generalizing a result of Pechenik [3]. Using K-jeu de taquin promotion, which was defined by Thomas and Yong [10], we give a cyclic sieving phenomenon for the set of increasing hook tableaux.

1. Introduction

Let λ be a partition of a positive integer N. An *increasing* tableau T is a λ-tableau in which both rows and columns are strictly increasing and, if $N-k$ is the largest entry in the tableau, then each i with $1 \leq i \leq N-k$ appears at least once in T. Let $\mathrm{Inc}_k(\lambda)$ denote the set of increasing λ-tableaux with maximum value $N-k$ and let $\mathrm{SYT}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Inc}_0(\lambda)$ denote the set of standard λ-tableaux with entries in $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$. In the first half of the article we focus on increasing tableaux of rectangular shape $\lambda = (n, n, \ldots, n) = n^m$ and will denote the corresponding sets by $\mathrm{Inc}_k(m \times n)$ and $\mathrm{SYT}(m \times n)$.

The two-dimensional Catalan numbers enumerate $\mathrm{SYT}(2 \times n)$, the set of standard tableaux with two rows. In [3], Pechenik gave explicit bijections between $\mathrm{Inc}_k(2 \times n)$, small Schröder paths with k diagonal steps and $\mathrm{SYT}(n-k, n-k, 1^k)$, giving a formula for the cardinality of $\mathrm{Inc}_k(2 \times n)$ and showing that the total number of increasing tableaux of shape $2 \times n$ is the nth small Schröder number.

The generalized Narayana numbers $N(m, n, \ell)$ studied in [8] and [9] count the m-dimensional lattice paths from $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ to $(n, n, \ldots, n)$ lying in the region $\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \mid 0 \leq x_m \leq \cdots \leq x_1\}$ using steps $X_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0), X_2 = (0, 1, \ldots, 0), \ldots, X_m = (0, 0, \ldots, 1)$, which have ℓ ascents. An ascent in a path occurs when the path contains consecutive steps $X_i X_j$ with $j < i$. We prove that the cardinality of $\mathrm{Inc}_k(m \times n)$ is a linear combination of Narayana numbers in Theorem 2.4. An interesting corollary is that $|\mathrm{Inc}_1(m \times n)| = \dfrac{(m-1)(n-1)}{2} |SYT(m \times n)|$.

The small m-Schröder numbers are given by the sequence $(N_{m,n}(2))_{n \geq 0}$, where $N_{m,n}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} N(m, n, \ell) t^\ell$ is the m-Narayana polynomial. In general, the m-dimensional Catalan numbers $(N_{m,n}(1))_{n \geq 0}$ enumerate $\mathrm{SYT}(m \times n)$. We prove that the small m-Schröder number $N_{m,n}(2)$ is equal to the total number of increasing rectangular tableaux of shape $m \times n$, generalizing Pechenik's result

This research was supported in part by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

for $\mathrm{Inc}_k(2 \times n)$. We define a generalized version of small Schröder paths in m-dimensional space, called small m-Schröder paths, and give a bijection between small m-Schröder paths and the set of increasing tableaux of shape $m \times n$.

Let X be a finite set, $C = \langle g \rangle$ a cyclic group of order N, and $X(q)$ a polynomial with integer coefficients. The triple $(X, C, X(q))$ is said to exhibit the cyclic sieving phenomenon (CSP) [4] if for any positive integer d, we have $X(\omega^d) = |\{x \in X \mid g^d \cdot x = x\}|$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/N}$ is a primitive Nth root of unity. A CSP for $\mathrm{SYT}(m \times n)$ was given by Rhoades [5] using classic jeu de taquin promotion and a q-analogue of the Frame-Robinson-Thrall hook length formula [2]. Using the K-jeu de taquin promotion of Thomas and Yong [10] and a natural q-analogue of a formula that enumerates $\mathrm{Inc}_k(2 \times n)$, Pechenik gave a CSP for $\mathrm{Inc}_k(2 \times n)$. The natural q-analogue of our counting formula for $\mathrm{Inc}_k(m \times n)$ does not, in general, serve as a CSP polynomial for the action of K-promotion on $\mathrm{Inc}_k(m \times n)$. Using results of Reiner, Stanton and White [4] and K-promotion, we give a CSP for $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N - r, 1^r)$, the set of increasing hook tableaux, in Section 3.

2. Enumerating increasing tableaux with Narayana numbers

We recall results concerning generalized Narayana numbers and generalized Schröder numbers from [9].

Let $\mathcal{C}(m, n)$ denote the set of lattice paths in m-dimensional space that run from $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ to $(n, n, \ldots, n)$ using the steps

$$X_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0),\ X_2 = (0, 1, \ldots, 0),\ \ldots, X_m = (0, 0, \ldots, 1)$$

and lie in the region $\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \mid 0 \leq x_m \leq x_{m-1} \leq \cdots \leq x_1\}$. A pair of steps $\epsilon_i\epsilon_{i+1}$ on a path $P = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_{mn}$ is called an *ascent* if $\epsilon_i\epsilon_{i+1} = X_jX_r$ with $r < j$. The set of ascents on a path P is denoted

$$\mathrm{asc}(P) = \{i \mid \epsilon_{i-1}\epsilon_i = X_jX_r \text{ for } r < j\}.$$

For $m \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq (m-1)(n-1)$, the m-Narayana number is defined as

$$N(m, n, \ell) = \left|\left\{P \in \mathcal{C}(m, n) \middle| |\mathrm{asc}(P)| = \ell\right\}\right|.$$

For $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, the nth m-Narayana polynomial is defined as

$$N_{m,n}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} N(m, n, \ell)t^\ell.$$

The m-dimensional Catalan numbers are given by the sequence $(N_{m,n}(1))_{n\geq 0}$ and these enumerate $\mathrm{SYT}(m \times n)$. By the hook length formula,

$$N_{m,n}(1) = (mn)! \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{i!}{(n+i)!}.$$

The *small m-Schröder numbers* are given by the sequence $(N_{m,n}(2))_{n\geq 0}$. In the case where $m = 2$, Pechenik showed that the small 2-Schröder numbers enumerate the set of increasing $2 \times n$ tableaux.

We will make use of the following proposition and corollary from [9].

Proposition 2.1. [9, Proposition 1] *For $m \geq 2$ and for $0 \leq \ell \leq (m-1)(n-1)$,*

$$N(m,n,\ell) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-j} \binom{mn+1}{\ell-j} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{n+i+j}{n} \binom{n+i}{n}^{-1}.$$

Corollary 2.2. [9, Corollary 1] *For $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, $N_{m,n}(t)$ is a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree $(m-1)(n-1)$. In other words, for each n, the sequence of coefficients of $N_{m,n}(t)$ is symmetric.*

A path $P = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_{mn} \in \mathcal{C}(m,n)$ gives a standard $m \times n$ tableau by reading the path left to right and placing i in the k^{th} row of the tableau whenever $\epsilon_i = X_k$. The condition $0 \leq x_m \leq x_{m-1} \ldots \leq x_1$ ensures that if $\epsilon_i = X_k$, then the number of occurrences of X_{k+1} in the sequence occurring previously is strictly greater than the number of occurrences of X_k, so the tableau generated by this procedure is standard. In the case where $m = 2$, $\mathcal{C}(2,n)$ consists of the paths from $(0,0)$ to (n,n) with horizontal and vertical steps that stay below the line $y = x$. This is a very well-known set of objects counted by the Catalan numbers. For a comprehensive list of objects counted by the Catalan numbers, see [7].

An ascent occurs in a path P precisely when the tableau generated by it has an entry i occurring in a row above $i-1$ in the rectangular tableau it encodes. It follows that $N(m,n,\ell)$ is equal to the number of tableaux in $\mathrm{SYT}(m \times n)$ for which an entry i appears in a row above an $i-1$ exactly ℓ times. For a tableau $T \in \mathrm{SYT}(\lambda)$, let $\mathrm{asc}(T) = \{i \text{ in } T \mid i \text{ occurs in a row above } i-1\}$.

To prove Theorem 2.4, we define a map $\phi : \mathrm{Inc}_k(m \times n) \to \mathrm{SYT}(m \times n)$. We first define $\phi_j : \mathrm{Inc}_j(m \times n) \to \mathrm{Inc}_{j-1}(m \times n)$, for $j \geq 1$. For $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_j(m \times n)$, let a be the minimal entry that appears more than once in T. Increase all entries in T that are greater than or equal to a, except for the leftmost value of a. Define $\phi : \mathrm{Inc}_k(m \times n) \to \mathrm{SYT}(m \times n)$ as a composition $\phi = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2 \circ \cdots \phi_{k-1} \circ \phi_k$.

Example 2.3. Below we find the image of a tableau T under $\phi : \mathrm{Inc}_3(3 \times 3) \to \mathrm{SYT}(3 \times 3)$.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2&4&5\\\hline 4&5&6\\\hline\end{array} \overset{\phi_3}{\longmapsto} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&5\\\hline 2&5&6\\\hline 4&6&7\\\hline\end{array} \overset{\phi_2}{\longmapsto} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&6\\\hline 2&5&7\\\hline 4&7&8\\\hline\end{array} \overset{\phi_1}{\longmapsto} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&6\\\hline 2&5&8\\\hline 4&7&9\\\hline\end{array} = \phi(T)$$

Note that ϕ is not one-to-one. For example, to determine the preimage of the tableau $S = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&6\\\hline 2&5&8\\\hline 4&7&9\\\hline\end{array}$, we consider $\mathrm{asc}(S) = \{3,5,6,8\}$. Since $k = 3$, each 3-element subset $\{a,b,c\}$ of $\mathrm{asc}(S)$, with $a < b < c$, corresponds to an element in the preimage by first subtracting one from all entries in S that are greater than or equal to c, then subtracting one from all entries in the resulting tableau that are greater than or equal to b, and then repeating the process for a. So there are

$\binom{4}{3}$ elements in the preimage of S; specifically

$$\phi^{-1}(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline 2&3&5\\\hline 3&4&6\\\hline\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&4\\\hline 2&4&5\\\hline 3&5&6\\\hline\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&4\\\hline 2&3&5\\\hline 3&5&6\\\hline\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2&4&5\\\hline 4&5&6\\\hline\end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *For $k \geq 0$,*

$$|\operatorname{Inc}_k(m \times n)| = \sum_{\ell=k}^{(m-1)(n-1)} \binom{\ell}{k} \cdot N(m,n,\ell).$$

Proof. For any tableau $T \in \operatorname{SYT}(m \times n)$, $\phi^{-1}(T) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|\operatorname{asc}(T)| \geq k$ and if $|\operatorname{asc}(T)| = \ell \geq k$, then $|\phi^{-1}(T)| = \binom{\ell}{k}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Inc}_k(m \times n)| &= \sum_{T \in SYT(m \times n)} |\phi^{-1}(T)| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=k}^{(m-1)(n-1)} \binom{\ell}{k} \Big| \Big\{ T \in \operatorname{SYT}(m \times n) \Big| |\operatorname{asc}(T)| = \ell \Big\} \Big| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=k}^{(m-1)(n-1)} \binom{\ell}{k} N(m,n,\ell). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *The number of increasing tableaux of shape $m \times n$ with exactly one repeated entry is given by*

$$|\operatorname{Inc}_1(m \times n)| = \frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{2} |\operatorname{SYT}(m \times n)|.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, we have $N(m,n,\ell) = N(m,n,(m-1)(n-1)-\ell)$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq (m-1)(n-1)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Inc}_1(m \times n)| &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\sum_{\ell=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} \ell N(m,n,\ell) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} ((m-1)(n-1)-\ell) N(m,n,\ell) \Big) \\ &= \frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} N(m,n,\ell) \\ &= \frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{2} |SYT(m \times n)|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using the above result, we can use the hook length formula for $|SYT(m \times n)|$ to give the cardinality of $\operatorname{Inc}_1(m \times n)$.

Corollary 2.6. *For $m \geq 2$, the number of increasing tableaux of shape $m \times n$ with maximum entry $mn-1$ is given by*

$$|\operatorname{Inc}_1(m \times n)| = \frac{(m-1)(n-1)(mn)!}{2} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{i!}{(n+i)!}.$$

Pechenik revealed a relationship between $\mathrm{Inc}_k(2\times n)$ and small Schröder numbers [3, Theorem 1.1]. The nth small Schröder number is equal to $N_{2,n}(2)$ while the nth large Schröder number is equal to $2N_{2,n}(2)$. A *large Schröder path* is a path from $(0,0)$ to (n,n) with steps of the form $(1,0)$, $(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$ that stays below the line $y=x$. A *small Schröder path* is a large Schröder path with no diagonal steps along $y=x$. Pechenik's bijection (in a slightly modified form) between $\mathrm{Inc}_k(2\times n)$ and small Schröder paths is given by assigning a step ϵ_i to each entry i in $T\in\mathrm{Inc}_k(2\times n)$. If i appears only in the first row, then $\epsilon_i=(1,0)$, while if i appears only in the second row, $\epsilon_i=(0,1)$ and if i appears in both the first and second row, then $\epsilon_i=(1,1)$. This gives a small Schröder path $P_T=\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\dots\epsilon_{2n-k}$ and the procedure is easily reversible: given a small Schröder path from $(0,0)$ to (n,n), we can construct a tableau $T\in\mathrm{Inc}_k(2\times n)$.

Example 2.7. We give the small Schröder path for $T=$

1	3	4	5
2	4	5	6

.



We generalize Pechenik's result for rectangular increasing tableaux of arbitrary size and then define small m-Schröder paths and give a bijection between these paths and the set of all increasing rectangular tableaux of shape $m\times n$.

Corollary 2.8. *For $m\geq 2$ and $n\geq 1$ we have* $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} |\,\mathrm{Inc}_k(m\times n)| = N_{m,n}(2)$.

In other words, the total number of increasing tableaux of shape $m\times n$ is given by the small m-Schröder number.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} |\,\mathrm{Inc}_k(m\times n)| &= \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)}\ \sum_{\ell=k}^{(m-1)(n-1)} \binom{\ell}{k} N(m,n,\ell)\\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t}\binom{t}{i}\right) N(m,n,t)\\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{(m-1)(n-1)} 2^t\ N(m,n,t) = N_{m,n}(2).\end{aligned}$$

□

Sulanke defined *large m-Schröder paths* [9] as paths running from $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ to $(n, n, \ldots, n)$ with nonzero steps of the form $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m)$, with $\xi_i \in \{0, 1\}$, that lie in the region $\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \mid 0 \leq x_m \leq x_{m-1} \leq \cdots \leq x_1\}$. He proved that the number of large m-Schröder paths is equal to $2^{m-1}N_{m,n}(2)$.

We define a *small m-Schröder path* to be a large m-Schröder path with the property that the path does not contain any steps from $(x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, a, a, x_{j+2}, \ldots, x_m)$ to $(y_1, \ldots, y_{j-1}, a+1, a+1, y_{j+2}, \ldots, y_m)$. In other words, if after k steps the path reaches position $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$, where $x_j = x_{j+1}$, then the $(k+1)$th step $\epsilon_{k+1} = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m)$ cannot have $\xi_j = \xi_{j+1} = 1$. For example, a small 3-Schröder path is a path from $(0, 0, 0)$ to $(3, 3, 3)$ with nonzero steps of the form (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3), $\xi_i \in \{0, 1\}$, that lies in the region $\{(x, y, z) \mid 0 \leq z \leq y \leq x\}$ and does not contain any steps from (a, a, z) to $(a+1, a+1, z')$ or from (x, b, b) to $(x', b+1, b+1)$. In the case where $m = 2$, the small m-Schröder paths are the usual small Schröder paths.

Theorem 2.9. *There is a bijection between the collection of small m-Schröder paths and the set of all increasing tableaux of shape $m \times n$.*

Proof. For an increasing tableau T with largest entry $mn - k$, define a path $P_T = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_{mn}$ from $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ to $(n, n, \ldots, n)$ in the following way. For each $1 \leq i \leq mn - k$, let $\epsilon_i = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m)$ where $\xi_j = 1$ if i appears in the jth row of T and $\xi_j = 0$ otherwise. Since T has strictly increasing columns, P_T lies in the region $\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \mid 0 \leq x_m \leq x_{m-1} \leq \cdots \leq x_1\}$. If, after the kth step ϵ_k, the path reaches position t_k then, after the $(k+1)$th step, the path reaches position $t_{k+1} = t_k + \epsilon_{k+1}$. Furthermore, the subtableau of T of shape $\lambda_k = t_k = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ is the portion of T that contains the entries $1, 2, \ldots, k$. (See Example 2.10 for an illustration.) If $t_k = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ has $x_j = x_{j+1}$, then the subtableau of T containing the entries up to and including k has x_j boxes in both the jth and $(j+1)$th row. If $\epsilon_{k+1} = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m)$ has $\xi_j = \xi_{j+1} = 1$ then $t_{k+1} = (y_1, \ldots, x_j + 1, x_j + 1, \ldots, y_m)$ so the subtableau of T containing the entries up to and including $k+1$ has $x_j + 1$ boxes in both the jth and $(j+1)$th row, which forces two entries equal to $k+1$ in column $x_j + 1$ of T. It follows that P_T is a small m-Schröder path.

Given a small m-Schröder path P_T, we can construct an increasing tableau T of shape $m \times n$ by reversing the above procedure. □

Example 2.10.

For $T =$ $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 6 & 9 & 10 \\ \hline 4 & 8 & 10 & 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$, $P_T = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_{16}$ where $\epsilon_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $\epsilon_2 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$, $\epsilon_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, $\epsilon_4 = (1, 1, 0, 1)$, $\epsilon_5 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$, etc. The steps in P_T take the path to positions $t_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $t_2 = (2, 1, 0, 0)$, $t_3 = (2, 1, 1, 0)$, $t_4 = (3, 2, 1, 1)$, $t_5 = (4, 3, 1, 1)$, etc. The position t_i gives the shape $\lambda = t_i$ of the subtableau of T that contains the entries $1, 2 \ldots, i$.

Remark 2.11. Using the same construction as in the proof of Theorem 2.9, the large m-Schröder paths are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of row-increasing tableaux of shape $m \times n$ where the entries are an initial segment of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ or, by transpose, to the set of semistandard $n \times m$ tableaux with entries an initial segment of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By [9, Proposition 10], this subset of the collection of semistandard $n \times m$ tableaux has cardinality equal to $2^{m-1}N_{m,n}(2)$.

3. Cyclic sieving phenomena

In this section, we give a CSP for increasing hook tableaux. A CSP for semistandard hook tableaux was given in [1]. We also show that the polynomial obtained by taking the natural q-analogue of the integer in Corollary 2.6, along with K-jeu de taquin promotion does not, in general, give a CSP for increasing rectangular tableaux, apart from the $2 \times n$ version given in [3].

Our focus is on increasing hook tableaux and for such tableaux, K-promotion as defined in [10], which defines a bijection $\partial : \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r) \to \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$, can be described in the following way. Given $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$, replace the 1 in T with a dot and repeatedly move all dots through the tableau using the rules below until every dot appears in the right-most box of the row or the lowest box in the column. Then replace each dot with $N-k$ and decrease all other entries in the tableau by one to obtain $\partial(T)$.

(1)


Note that when $k = 0$, K-promotion amounts to Schützenberger's jeu de taquin promotion on $SYT(N-r, 1^r)$. For a description of K-promotion on increasing tableaux for general shapes, see [10].

Example 3.1. For $T =$ 

, K-promotion works as follows:

$T \to$ 

$= \partial(T)$.

The *content* of a tableau $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(\lambda)$, where λ is a partition of N, is equal to $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{N-k})$, where α_i gives the number of entries equal to i in T; we

denote this by $\mathrm{cont}(T)$. The symmetric group S_{N-k} on $N-k$ letters acts on $(N-k)$-tuples by permuting places:

$$\theta \cdot (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{N-k}) = (\alpha_{\theta(1)}, \alpha_{\theta(2)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\theta(N-k)}), \text{ where } \theta \in S_{N-k}.$$

For increasing hook tableaux, K-promotion permutes the content via the cycle $\sigma = (2\ 3 \cdots N-k) \in S_{N-k}$. In other words, if $\mathrm{cont}(T) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{N-k})$, where α_1 is necessarily equal to 1, then

$$\mathrm{cont}(\partial(T)) = (1, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_{n-k}, \alpha_2) = \sigma \cdot (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{N-k}), \tag{2}$$

for $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$.

The cardinality of $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$ is given by

$$|\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)| = \binom{N-k-1}{r}\binom{r}{k}.$$

To give a CSP for $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$, we work with a map $\psi : \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r) \to \mathrm{SYT}(N-r-k, 1^r)$ that behaves nicely with respect to K-promotion. This will allow us to use established results concerning $\mathrm{SYT}(N-r-k, 1^r)$. Define $\psi : \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r) \to \mathrm{SYT}(N-r-k, 1^r)$ by deleting the k entries in the row of $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$ that also appear in the column of T. Then ψ is onto, but not one-to-one. The following Lemma follows easily from (1).

Lemma 3.2. *If $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$, then $\psi(\partial(T)) = \partial(\psi(T))$.*

The *order of promotion* on $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$ is the smallest positive integer ℓ that satisfies $\partial^\ell(T) = T$ for all $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$. When $k = 0$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathrm{SYT}(N-r, 1^r)$ and the set $\mathcal{A}$ that consists of subsets of $\{2, \ldots, N\}$ containing $r-1$ elements. Define $\gamma : \mathrm{SYT}(N-r, 1^r) \to \mathcal{A}$, by defining $\gamma(S)$ to be the set of entries in the first column of S that sit below the $(1,1)$-box and let $\theta = (2\ 3\ 4\ \cdots N)^{-1} \in S_N$. We have $\gamma(\partial(S)) = \theta(\gamma(S))$ for $S \in \mathrm{SYT}(N-r, 1^r)$ so jeu de taquin promotion on $S \in SYT(N-r, 1^r)$ is completely determined by considering the action of θ on the column of S. It follows that the order of promotion on $\mathrm{SYT}(N-r, 1^r)$ is equal to $N-1$.

Theorem 3.3. *The order of promotion on $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$ is equal to $N-k-1$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = (2\ 3 \cdots N-k) \in S_{N-k}$ and suppose that $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$ has content $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{N-k})$. Then the content of $\partial^{N-k-1}(T)$ is equal to $\sigma^{N-k-1}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{N-k}) = \alpha$.

We have $\partial^{N-k-1}(S) = S$ for $S \in \mathrm{SYT}(N-r-k, 1^r)$ so $\partial^{N-k-1}(\psi(T)) = \psi(T)$ for $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$. By Lemma 3.2, $\psi(\partial^{N-k-1}(T)) = \psi(T)$ and since $\mathrm{cont}(T) = \mathrm{cont}(\partial^{N-k-1}(T))$, we have $\partial^{N-k-1}(T) = T$. Furthermore, $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$ with content equal to $(1, \underbrace{2, 2, \ldots, 2}_{k}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{N-k-1})$ is fixed by no less than $N-k-1$ iterations of K-promotion. □

The following theorem is due to Reiner, Stanton and White [4], where the theorem is stated in terms of k-subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ under the action of the long cycle $(1\ 2 \cdots N) \in S_N$.

Theorem 3.4. [4, Theorem 1.1] *The triple* $(\mathrm{SYT}(N-r,1^r), C, X(q))$ *satisfies the cyclic sieving phenomenon, where* C *is the cyclic group of order* $N-1$ *given by jeu de taquin promotion on* $SYT(\lambda)$ *and* $X(q) = \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$.

Let $f_1(q) = \begin{bmatrix} N-k-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$, $f_2(q) = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ and $X(q) = f_1(q)f_2(q)$, which is a natural q-analogue of the formula that enumerates $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r,1^r)$.

Let ω be a primitive $(N-k-1)$th root of unity. Then ω^m is a primitive dth root of unity where $d \cdot \gcd(N-k-1, m) = N-k-1$ and by [4, Proposition 4.2],

$$f_1(\omega^m) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} (N-k-1)/d \\ r/d \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d|r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

In general, $f_2(\omega^m)$ may not be an integer but we are only concerned with the value of $f_2(\omega^m)$ when $f_1(\omega^m)f_2(\omega^m) \neq 0$. In particular, if $f_1(\omega^m) \neq 0$, then $d|r$ so we have

$$f_2(\omega^m) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} r/d \\ k/d \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d|k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

when $f_1(\omega^m) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.6 is the main ingredient that will be used to prove a CSP for $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r,1^r)$. The following example will be useful when reading the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Example 3.5. Consider $\psi : \mathrm{Inc}_2(5,1^6) \to \mathrm{SYT}(3,1^6)$. Promotion on a tableau in $\mathrm{SYT}(3,1^6)$ corresponds to the action of the permutation $\theta = (2\ 9\ 8\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4\ 3)$ on the column entries of the tableau. Since $\theta^4 = (2\ 6)(3\ 7)(4\ 8)(5\ 9)$, the column of a tableau in $\mathrm{SYT}(3,1^6)$ is fixed by θ^4 only when the entries in the column of the tableau below the $(1,1)$-box correspond to the values in three of the four 2-cycles in the decomposition of θ^4. The following tableau in $\mathrm{SYT}(3,1^6)$ satisfies $\partial^4(S) = S$:

$S =$

1	4	8
2		
3		
5		
6		
7		
9		

.

There are $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ tableaux that map to S under ψ. We wish to determine those tableaux in the preimage of S with content that is fixed by ∂^4. In general, a

tableau $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_2(5, 1^6)$ has content that is fixed by ∂^4 if and only if the content of T is equal to one of the following:

$$(1,2,1,1,1,2,1,1,1), (1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,2), (1,1,2,1,1,1,2,1,1), (1,1,1,2,1,1,1,2,1).$$

If $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_2(5, 1^6)$ also satisfies $\psi(T) = S$, then the two elements in the row of T that are repeated in the column must belong to one of the 2-cycles that appear in the decomposition of θ^4, so if $\psi(T) = S$ and $\mathrm{cont}(T) = \mathrm{cont}(\partial^4(T))$ then $\mathrm{cont}(T)$ must be equal to one of the first three sequences above. This completely determines those tableaux in the preimage of S that have content fixed by ∂^4:

1	2	4	6	8
2				
3				
5				
6				
7				
9				

,

1	3	4	7	8
2				
3				
5				
6				
7				
9				

,

1	4	5	8	9
2				
3				
5				
6				
7				
9				

.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $S \in \mathrm{SYT}(N - r - k, 1^r)$ with $\partial^m(S) = S$ and suppose that ω is a primitive $(N - k - 1)$th root of unity. The number of $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N - r, 1^r)$ with $\psi(T) = S$ such that $\mathrm{cont}(T) = \mathrm{cont}(\partial^m(T))$ is equal to $f_2(\omega^m) = \left[\begin{array}{c} r \\ k \end{array} \right]_{q=\omega^m}$.*

Proof. Since ω is a primitive $(N-k-1)$th root of unity, ω^m is a dth root of unity where $d \cdot \gcd(N-k-1, m) = N-k-1$. Let $\theta = \sigma^{-1} = (2\,3\,4\,5 \cdots N-k)^{-1} \in S_{N-k}$. The column of $\partial(S)$ is given by the action of θ on the entries of the column of S that sit below the $(1,1)$-box, so θ^m fixes these r elements in the column of S. We can write $\theta^m = \theta_1\theta_2 \cdots \theta_{m'}$, which is a product of $m' = gcd(N - k - 1, m)$ disjoint cycles of length d. Since θ^m fixes the r column elements of S, we have that d divides r.

Let $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N - r, 1^r)$ have content equal to $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{N-k})$, and suppose that $\mathrm{cont}(\partial^m(T)) = \alpha$. Then by (2), $\sigma^m \cdot \alpha = \alpha$ and since σ^m is the product of d-cycles and there are exactly k entries α_i that are equal to 2, we have that d divides k. Furthermore, the k repeated entries in the row of T can be partitioned into k/d sets of size d, where each set consists of elements from one of the d-cycles $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{m'}$ in the decomposition of $\theta = \sigma^{-1}$.

Since θ^m fixes the entries in the column of S, the entries below the $(1,1)$-box must consist of the values from $\ell = r/d$ of the d-cycles $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{m'}$. Denote this subset of d-cycles that give the column of S by $\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \ldots, \theta'_\ell$. If $T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r, 1^r)$, with $\psi(T) = S$ and $\mathrm{cont}(T) = \mathrm{cont}(\partial^m(T))$, then the k entries in the row of T that are repeated in the column can be partitioned into k/d sets of size d, where each set consists of elements from one of the d-cycles $\theta'_1, \ldots, \theta'_\ell$. There are exactly $\left(\begin{array}{c} r/d \\ k/d \end{array} \right)$ such tableaux and by (4), this is equal to $f_2(\omega^m)$. □

Theorem 3.7. *The triple* $(\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r,1^r), C, X(q))$ *satisfies the cyclic sieving phenomenon, where* C *is the cyclic group of order* $N-k-1$ *given by* K*-promotion on* $\mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r,1^r)$ *and* $X(q) = \begin{bmatrix} N-k-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$.

Proof. Let $X = \{T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r,1^r) \mid \partial^m(T) = T\}$ and

$$Y = \{T \in \mathrm{Inc}_k(N-r,1^r) \mid \partial^m(\psi(T)) = \psi(T) \text{ and } \mathrm{cont}(T) = \mathrm{cont}(\partial^m(T))\}.$$

If $T \in X$, then $\partial^m(T) = T$ so $\mathrm{cont}(\partial^m(T)) = \mathrm{cont}(T)$ and $\psi(\partial^m(T)) = \psi(T)$. By Lemma 3.2, $\partial^m(\psi(T)) = \psi(T)$. If $T \in Y$, then $\partial^m(\psi(T)) = \psi(T)$ so $\psi(\partial^m(T)) = \psi(T)$. Since $\mathrm{cont}(\partial^m(T)) = \mathrm{cont}(T)$, $\partial^m(T) = T$. Thus $|X| = |Y|$ and by Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, $|Y| = f_1(\omega^m)f_2(\omega^m)$. □

We close with an example that shows that a natural q-analogue of the polynomial in Corollary 2.6, coupled with K-jeu de taquin promotion does not give a CSP for $\mathrm{Inc}_1(3 \times 3)$.

Example 3.8. Let $X(q) = \dfrac{(q^9-1)(q^8-1)(q^7-1)(q^6-1)}{(q^4-1)(q^3-1)^2(q-1)}$, which is a natural q-analogue of the integer from Corollary 2.6 for $n = 3$. The order of promotion on $\mathrm{Inc}_1(3 \times 3)$ is equal to 8 and there are four tableaux in $\mathrm{Inc}_1(3 \times 3)$ that have order equal to two. (See [10] or [3] for the definition of K-promotion for rectangular shapes.) However, if ω is a primitive eighth root of unity, $X(\omega^2) = 2 - 2i$ is not even an integer.

References

[1] M. Bennett, B. Madill, and A. Stokke, *Jeu-de-taquin promotion and a cyclic sieving phenomenon for semistandard hook tableaux*, Discrete Math. **319** (2014), 62-67.

[2] J.S. Frame, G. Robinson, and R. M. Thrall, *The hook graphs of the symmetric groups*, Canadian J. Math. **6** (1954), 316–324.

[3] O. Pechenik, *Cyclic sieving of increasing tableaux and small Schröder paths*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A **125** (2014), 357–378.

[4] V. Reiner, D. Stanton, and D. White, *The cyclic sieving phenomenon*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **108** (2004), 17–50.

[5] B. Rhoades, *Cyclic sieving, promotion, and representation theory*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **117** (2010), 28–76.

[6] B. Sagan, *The cyclic sieving phenomenon: a survey*, Surveys in Combinatorics 2011, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 392, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[7] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[8] R.A. Sulanke, *Three dimensional Narayana and Schröder numbers*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **346** (2005), 455–468.

[9] ———, *Generalizing Narayana and Schröder numbers to higher dimensions*, Electron. J. Combin. **11** (2004), no. 1.

[10] H. Thomas and A. Yong, *A jeu de taquin theory for increasing tableaux with applications to K-theoretic calculus*, Algebra Number Theory **3** (2009), no. 2, 121-148.

University of Winnipeg, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3B 2E9

E-mail address: a.stokke@uwinnipeg.ca, t.visentin@uwinnipeg.ca